

Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections on the grounds that independent claims 58 and 59 provide structures which as a whole are not shown or disclosed or made obvious by the references or their combinations.

The rejection of claim 58 at pages 2-7 is identical to previous rejections with the exception of the paragraph between lines 8 and 16 on page 6 which indicates that the claimed feature newly amended from the previous response includes a limitation concerning the third link information and that the reference to Hidary is cited for having a packaging device including video data which is composed of URL links or buttons and that they will contain information to read "another" information referring to Column 9, lines 3-9 and Column 10, lines 1-2 of Hidary.

Applicants wish to point out that the third link information of independent claims 58 and 59 comes from particular information read out from a package but that additionally this particular information read out from the package is ultimately derived from first link information from a broadcast. This third link information corresponds to displayed information 871 of Figure 27 in the screen 870 when information 871 is formed as data 200b of Figure 23.

The reference to Hidary is a system which receives video programming containing uniform resource locators (URL), the web pages resulting from the URL are synchronized to the video

content for display in conjunction with the television program being broadcast. It is only at the last portion of the specification at Columns 9 and 10, that it is indicated that instead of receiving a video program from a transmission means it could be coming from a storage device such as DVD in which case the computer must be connected to the DVD.

In contrast, Applicants' invention involves a terminal device receiving a broadcast, as well as a package control block and a communication control block for performing communication with an information providing device. The statement of the rejection indicates at page 3, the paragraph between lines 6 and 13, that the reference to Batchelor, which is the primary reference, discloses a broadcast receiving block which acquires link information from the package and that package is the CD-ROM which is coordinated in conjunction with the received TV broadcast.

It must be, therefore, appreciated that if the reference to Hidary is to be combined with Batchelor for the purpose of disclosing this third link information, then, as was stated in the previous rejections and in the current rejections, in order to serve as a basis for the rejection, it is necessary to understand that Hidary uses either a broadcast or a stored DVD for its information containing the URL, whereas the present invention requires both broadcast and "package" (DVD) information

and there is nothing in the primary reference to Batchelor which gives any indication that any particular information from the CD-ROM, which is displayed on the monitor, would contain further linking information based on a broadcast receiving block.

Therefore, it is submitted that one skilled in the art would not combine these references except with the hindsight reconstruction available using the disclosure of the present invention. It must be appreciated that Batchelor has been indicated in the rejection as not disclosing the communication control block but that the reference to Hidary is cited for connecting a computer to the internet in order to obtain information. The rejection indicates that it would have been obvious to modify the personal computer system 10 of Batchelor with the feature of interfacing with the modem to enable a user terminal device to interface with a TV broadcast. If this is the combination, then the present invention, as defined by independent claims 58 and 59, would not result because Hidary does not use the DVD storage except as a replacement for a video program from a transmitter as indicated at Column 9 of Hidary.

Claim 59, instead of specifying that the third link information comes from the first link for controlling the package block instead recites that the third link information is read out from the stored information and gives an instruction for reading another information in the package. This "stored information"

comes from the "second link information giving an instruction for reading information stored" in said information providing device. Once again, Applicants wish to point out that independent claim 59 requires broadcast information for both the first and second link sources and that the reference to Hidary replaces broadcast information with the DVD or some other storage and that if the combination of Batchelor and Hidary is made in accordance with the requirements necessary to meet the other limitations of independent claim 59, it cannot provide the features of the third link information as specifically recited because, as indicated above, Hidary replaces transmission with DVD as indicated in the final portion of the specification.

The reference to Mages, even accepting the statement of the Examiner that it shows controlling of information read from a package device according to a URL, adds nothing to the teaching of Hidary and Batchelor with respect to the features of independent claim 59 concerning the third link information resulting from the information providing block which came as a result of a second link from a broadcast.

Therefore, in view of the distinguishing features which exists between the claimed invention and the references which features are not shown or disclosed or made obvious by the references or any obvious combination of the references to one of ordinary skill in the art, Applicants respectfully request


that this application containing claims 58 and 59 be allowed and be passed to issue.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #381NT/43975).

Respectfully submitted,

June 27, 2001



Jeffrey D. Sanok
Registration No. 32,169
Vincent J. Sunderdick
Registration No. 29,004

JDS/VJS/rrt

CROWELL & MORING, LLP
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 628-8800
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844